



2003 PEER Survey of EPA Region 8 Employees

Public Employees for Environmental Responsibility (PEER), a national, non-profit alliance of state and federal resource professionals working to promote environmental ethics and government accountability, sent the following survey to all Region 8 EPA staff and management employees.

Percentage responses are shown for each possible answer. Six hundred and seventy-five surveys were mailed, of which 22.8% (154) were returned.

Individual Response:

Professionals 0-5 years – 28

Professionals 5-15 years – 49

Professionals 15+ years – 41

Management - 24

Clerical - 12

DIRECTION

1. I feel that EPA Region 8 is an effective organization for protecting the environment.

15% strongly agree 51% agree 6% no opinion 24% disagree 3% strongly disagree

66% Strongly Agree/Agree & 27% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	18%	57%	7%	18%	0%
Prof. 5-15yrs	14%	63%	0%	22%	0%
Prof. 15+yrs	10%	44%	7%	34%	5%
Management	<i>46% Strongly Agree/Agree & 42% Strongly Disagree/Disagree</i>				

2. EPA Region 8 is a stronger environmental protection organization that it was five years ago

5% strongly agree 17% agree 19% no opinion 37% disagree 23% strongly disagree

22% Strongly Agree/Agree & 59% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	7%	7%	52%	22%	11%
Prof. 5-15yrs	4%	27%	12%	35%	22%
Prof. 15+yrs	0%	12%	7%	59%	22%
Management	<i>13% Strongly Agree/Agree & 75% Strongly Disagree/Disagree</i>				

3. In my opinion, EPA Region 8 is moving in the right direction.

5% strongly agree 25% agree 15% no opinion 41% disagree 14% strongly disagree

30% Strongly Agree/Agree & 55% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	0%	44%	19%	30%	7%
Prof. 5-15yrs	8%	22%	14%	43%	12%
Prof. 15+yrs	0%	17%	12%	51%	20%
Management	<i>17% Strongly Agree/Agree & 71% Strongly Disagree/Disagree</i>				

PROTECTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT

4. Region 8 management decisions consistently promote protection of the environment and public health.

10% strongly agree 33% agree 10% no opinion 39% disagree 8% strongly disagree

42% Strongly Agree/Agree & 47% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	14%	50%	18%	18%	0%
Prof. 5-15yrs	6%	27%	13%	44%	10%
Prof. 15+yrs	10%	29%	2%	49%	10%
Management	25% Strongly Agree/Agree & 71% Strongly Disagree/Disagree				

5. The leadership of Region 8 places environmental protection and public health above the interests of the regulated business community.

8% strongly agree 32% agree 15% no opinion 35% disagree 10% strongly disagree

40% Strongly Agree/Agree & 45% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	15%	44%	11%	26%	4%
Prof. 5-15yrs	4%	44%	8%	33%	10%
Prof. 15+yrs	7%	15%	22%	44%	12%
Management	25% Strongly Agree/Agree & 67% Strongly Disagree/Disagree				

6. Senior Managers usually support recommendations for environmental protection made by Region 8 professional staff.

7% strongly agree 32% agree 20% no opinion 33% disagree 7% strongly disagree

40% Strongly Agree/Agree & 41% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	15%	38%	31%	12%	4%
Prof. 5-15yrs	6%	33%	17%	38%	6%
Prof. 15+yrs	5%	31%	13%	44%	8%
Management	29% Strongly Agree/Agree & 63% Strongly Disagree/Disagree				

POLITICAL INFLUENCES

7. In making environmental decisions, Senior Managers carefully consider the scientific advice and the opinions of professional staff.

6% strongly agree 38% agree 23% no opinion 26% disagree 7% strongly disagree

44% Strongly Agree/Agree & 34% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	15%	37%	30%	19%	0%
Prof. 5-15yrs	4%	33%	23%	31%	8%
Prof. 15+yrs	0%	45%	20%	25%	10%
Management	46% Strongly Agree/Agree & 46% Strongly Disagree/Disagree				

8. Promoting the President's Energy Plan and other Administration initiatives has become more important at EPA Region 8 than protecting the environment.

19% *strongly agree* 38% *agree* 27% *no opinion* 12% *disagree* 3% *strongly disagree*
57% Strongly Agree/Agree & 16% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	11%	36%	29%	21%	4%
Prof. 5-15yrs	22%	27%	31%	18%	2%
Prof. 15+yrs	15%	54%	24%	5%	2%
Management	75% Strongly Agree/Agree & 13% Strongly Disagree/Disagree				

9. Political interests affect key decisions made by EPA more than they did five years ago.

41% *strongly agree* 36% *agree* 17% *no opinion* 3% *disagree* 3% *strongly disagree*
77% Strongly Agree/Agree & 6% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	46%	27%	23%	0%	4%
Prof. 5-15yrs	33%	41%	20%	4%	2%
Prof. 15+yrs	39%	49%	10%	0%	2%
Management	83% Strongly Agree/Agree & 13% Strongly Disagree/Disagree				

LEADERSHIP

10. I feel that the leadership of Region 8 is committed to upholding environmental laws and regulations.

10% *strongly agree* 47% *agree* 14% *no opinion* 24% *disagree* 5% *strongly disagree*
58% Strongly Agree/Agree & 29% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	14%	64%	11%	7%	4%
Prof. 5-15yrs	8%	46%	15%	29%	2%
Prof. 15+yrs	7%	37%	15%	34%	7%
Management	50% Strongly Agree/Agree & 38% Strongly Disagree/Disagree				

11. I have confidence in the Senior Managers at EPA Region 8.

7% *strongly agree* 26% *agree* 24% *no opinion* 31% *disagree* 12% *strongly disagree*
33% Strongly Agree/Agree & 43% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	4%	43%	43%	11%	0%
Prof. 5-15yrs	6%	23%	25%	33%	13%
Prof. 15+yrs	2%	22%	17%	46%	12%
Management	21% Strongly Agree/Agree & 67% Strongly Disagree/Disagree				

12. Robbie Roberts is doing a good job as EPA Regional Administrator.

5% *strongly agree* 20% *agree* 38% *no opinion* 22% *disagree* 14% *strongly disagree*

26% Strongly Agree/Agree & 36% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	4%	22%	59%	11%	4%
Prof. 5-15yrs	2%	19%	42%	19%	19%
Prof. 15+yrs	2%	20%	29%	34%	15%
Management	25% Strongly Agree/Agree & 50% Strongly Disagree/Disagree				

TRANSPARENCY

13. Region 8 Senior Management is committed to providing the media and the public complete and accurate information on controversial topics.

7% strongly agree 27% agree 24% no opinion 28% disagree 14% strongly disagree

34% Strongly Agree/Agree & 42% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	4%	41%	30%	19%	7%
Prof. 5-15yrs	8%	29%	23%	25%	15%
Prof. 15+yrs	2%	24%	24%	32%	17%
Management	13% Strongly Agree/Agree & 71% Strongly Disagree/Disagree				

14. Region 8 Senior Management is committed to transparency in conducting agency business.

3% strongly agree 19% agree 33% no opinion 33% disagree 13% strongly disagree

21% Strongly Agree/Agree & 46% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	4%	26%	41%	22%	7%
Prof. 5-15yrs	2%	19%	38%	27%	15%
Prof. 15+yrs	0%	15%	30%	35%	20%
Management	9% Strongly Agree/Agree & 78% Strongly Disagree/Disagree				

15. Region 8 Senior Management candidly explains the basis for its decisions on controversial issues to the professional staff.

3% strongly agree 16% agree 28% no opinion 37% disagree 15% strongly disagree

19% Strongly Agree/Agree & 52% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	4%	27%	31%	35%	4%
Prof. 5-15yrs	4%	13%	29%	40%	15%
Prof. 15+yrs	0%	12%	24%	39%	24%
Management	13% Strongly Agree/Agree & 67% Strongly Disagree/Disagree				

MORALE

16. Morale is good within EPA Region 8.

6% strongly agree 21% agree 14% no opinion 42% disagree 17% strongly disagree

27% Strongly Agree/Agree & 58% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	11%	32%	25%	25%	7%
Prof. 5-15yrs	2%	22%	12%	41%	22%
Prof. 15+yrs	0%	15%	10%	54%	22%
Management	21% Strongly Agree/Agree & 67% Strongly Disagree/Disagree				

17. I feel that Senior Management appreciates my job performance and accomplishments.18.

8% strongly agree 35% agree 20% no opinion 27% disagree 10% strongly disagree

43% Strongly Agree/Agree & 37% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	11%	36%	36%	18%	0%
Prof. 5-15yrs	8%	29%	15%	33%	15%
Prof. 15+yrs	7%	41%	15%	29%	7%
Management	42% Strongly Agree/Agree & 46% Strongly Disagree/Disagree				

18. Managers encourage employee input.

9% strongly agree 41% agree 16% no opinion 26% disagree 8% strongly disagree

51% Strongly Agree/Agree & 34% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	25%	50%	14%	7%	4%
Prof. 5-15yrs	6%	38%	19%	25%	13%
Prof. 15+yrs	3%	45%	20%	25%	8%
Management	33% Strongly Agree/Agree & 58% Strongly Disagree/Disagree				

19. I am hesitant to perform controversial aspects of my job for fear of retaliation.

11% strongly agree 21% agree 25% no opinion 34% disagree 10% strongly disagree

32% Strongly Agree/Agree & 44% Strongly Disagree/Disagree

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
Prof. 0-5yrs	8%	12%	19%	46%	15%
Prof. 5-15yrs	13%	23%	25%	33%	6%
Prof. 15+yrs	7%	29%	20%	37%	7%
Management	42% Strongly Agree/Agree & 42% Strongly Disagree/Disagree				

20. Senior Managers could improve Region 8 by: (Check those you agree with.)

(OVERALL)

72%_ Assuring fair and just decisions based on science and professional judgment

54%_ Involving professional staff in policy making

29%_ Providing additional training for management and staff

47%_ Supporting critical examination of new policies

52%_ Fairly enforcing the law

41%_ Communicating in writing with the staff.



2003 PEER Survey of EPA Region 8 Employees

With the assistance of Rock Mountain PEER, Region 8 employees at EPA developed this survey. The purpose of this survey was to allow the employees within the department to express their views concerning the direction of the agency. Responses were organized according to the topic areas in which they fell; respondents were not asked to specifically address these topics area, however the overlap in response topics was considerable. Due to the need to maintain confidentiality specific employee names are not included in the transcription.

In my opinion, EPA Region 8 could best improve its public health and environmental stewardship by:

Combating Political Pressures by the Bush Administration

Professionals:

Resisting the pressure to make every policy and every decision based upon a political agenda. As a public agency EPA will never be entirely free from politics. However, human health & the environment should always be the Agency's overriding concern, not towing the party line as it comes down from the White House.

This administration has politicized EPA to an extreme extent. It's staggering! For example, we are preparing to go out for public comment on options for industry "burden reduction." Five of the six options came from OMB. EPA only generated one. Never have I heard of EPA regulation proposal being determined by outside sources!

The current administration is undermining the incredible progress and accomplishments of the past 30+ years. The EPA Administrator must be less political and more focused on the mission. Reg. 8 can't enforce anything without HQ support – and HQ can't do much under the administration's thumb.

Having a United States President who supports protecting the environment. At this point president Bush is doing a terrible disservice to the environment and the public he has sworn to protect.

This is as bad as it was during the Regan Administration only more subtle in the control aspects by the Administration.

Managers:

Put environmental protection ahead of energy development in thought and word and deed – LITERALLY! Because literally the opposite is true at this time.

Returning to the standards for standing up for our mission against political influence...

Being independent from the White House & EPA-HQ, state governments and all external interests. But that's unrealistic.

Clerical:

The problem that stands out most is that the current Bush administration is more interested in supporting industry & groups of people with high visibility & money...

Enforcing Environmental Laws

Professionals:

Upholding the trust given by the public that the “Environmental Protection Agency” is advocating for the protection of the environment.

Focusing on env. protection and not energy development!

Working to support the spirit and intent of environmental laws. As an example where that has not happened, the NPDES program did not comment on a controversial project in ND that will discharge polluted waters into the Red River of the North and pollute the waters of Minnesota and Canada and degrade that river contrary to the Boundary waters Treaty of 1909. Minnesota asked EPA for help, and we did not even respond to that request.

EPA has turned a blind eye to Air Quality violations and even rewarded Denver, Salt Lake City and other cities for these violations.

Policy priorities and environmental laws are inconsistently applied/enforced from one administration to another. There needs to be a continuity of data collection and analysis, enforcement and compliance with facilities.

Managers:

Empowering EPA technical and professional staff instead of thwarting their efforts to uphold & enforce the laws that are supposed to protect public health and the environment.

Striving towards a strong policy of protecting and improving the environment, not just allowing degradation of the environment.

Quit trying to stretch regulatory flexibility to the breaking point or the point at which a Pandora’s box of consequences that are not at all thought through.

Tell enviros to sue us in areas that make sense not areas that lead to stupid decisions and distract from meaningful work.

Placing more emphasis on advocacy for environmental protection and less concern on making economic considerations part of its decisions, placating and acquiescing to states, and having more concern about environmental impacts rather than impacts on business and political interests.

Really being connected to protecting public health & the environment.

Don’t avoid enforcement and promulgation.

Improving Regional Leadership

Professionals:

EPA management in Region 8 is a disaster...

We have lost our autonomy – everything has to go through Headquarters before any action is taken.

Region 8 needs focus on regional issues & enforce accountability.

Robbie Roberts is invisible to most of the staff. For those at the GS-13 & lower level he makes almost no contact. He asks for no input for such issues as how to make Region 8 more effective & efficient. He is the least visible Administrator in the __ years I have been at EPA. He has a wealth of information and good ideas at his fingertips and has no clue.

Much of the problem is the political climate and the mandates coming from EPA Headquarters. In my __ years with EPA R8, I have been most proud of our senior managers when they stopped being “YES” men to DC, and instead did what was RIGHT. Unfortunately, most senior managers/RA/DRAs care less about protecting the environment and more about not making waves (looking good).

Managers:

Even if you blow the whistle...the IG is in bed with R8 management. If you push it you will be reassigned or, more likely, FIRED.

Assign work & fill mgmt. Positions based on skills & experience, including the ability to manage people, rather than who hustles to please the higher-ups the most.

Explaining to the public & staff the reasons for actions EPA takes – without spin.

According Science a Greater Role

Professionals:

Using science, not politics and public communications specialists for making decisions.
Making decisions based on science and letting the elected officials make the political decisions.

Putting sound science ahead of political interest... On science, however, we are demoralized by the erosion of environmental regs in Washington. EPA HQ has attempted to prevent the publishing of journal articles. In this situation Reg. 8 management defended the scientist& the paper was published.

The assistant regional administrator, Gerry Clough... typically disregards any scientific input into decision-making. [He'd] rather be buddies with special interest groups.
Doing more to support scientific research.

Weighting science more in the decision-making process.

More Resources

Professionals:

Receiving adequate funding to perform mission

Provide more mechanisms to assist in funding clean-up or finding solutions for newly discovered CERCLA sites. Currently, we are not allowed to list sites for lack of funding... Basically, we can find sites, but then have no way to deal with them.

Managers:

Fight for a meaningful budget, not one for special projects for Congressionals that are not environmental but take it from EPA's operating budget.

More environ. E. funding and support!

We should also devote more of our resources to direct field support & program implementation.

Clerical:

The people in Region 8 strive every day to meet the criteria of our mission. This is difficult when our budget gets slashed, our policies dilute like water and again our enforcement abilities [are] handicapped.

Addressing Dysfunctional Agency Culture and Practices

Professionals:

Being more open about internal policy & decisions w/ staff & media.

Making managers accountable for decisions affecting health of humans & the environment & morale, performance, etc. of their staff... Large staff turnover necessitates increased training, time lost for replacing staff should not be rewarded by bonuses to that supervisor...

Getting new management. Many managers have been at EPA forever & have lost sight of our mission.

Remove the glass ceiling for minority staff.

The project managers actually taking interest in their projects from beginning to end... Contractors take care of everything, writing the QAIP & SAP, collecting samples, choosing the analytical lab, getting the data validated & giving that information to the project manager. What this creates in someone in charge, but not involved.

Involving professional staff in decision making; acting fair and balanced in treating employees; provide feedback into management; providing transparent communications.

Removing failed managers from their supervisory positions once it is clear that change will not take place.

Doing better resource planning for all business inputs: more Superfund budget shares from HQ; formal management succession plans to deal with planned retirements & personnel aspirations on development plans; better IT architecture, systems, customer help desk workers/hours of operation; more training in diplomacy, economics, & political decision-making process.

Tackling the big issues like emerging data on toxicity of common chemicals, genetic engineering and the effects of toxic chemicals on the fetus.

Getting charter of responsibilities or clearly defined mission statement from Congress. EPA is only government agency not to have one.

Managers:

Senior management protect their own. It's like a bad fraternity who believes they are on a different playing field and don't have to comply with policy & regs that staff must.

Selecting better personnel for senior and other management positions. Selections over the past couple of years have been baffling at best.

Returning to the empowerment model that was in place before this administration. Staff and managers need to have participation in decision making.

Clerical:

Input should be open to all employees as it is often the trench soldiers that know how the war truly progresses!